

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,523	03/26/2004	Kesahiro Koike	Q80755 7526	
23373 7590 12/18/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVEŅUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			VINH, LAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,523	KOIKE, KESAHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lan Vinh	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 (October 2007.					
	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-14</u> is/are rejected.						
. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/809,523 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The Applicants argue that neither Takeuchi et al nor Taylor, alone or in combination discloses use of the glass substrate doped with Ti. That is, use of the glass substrate made of a SiO2-TiO2 glass is never disclosed in any one of the cited documents. This argument have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) based on Takeuchi, Taylor and Ackerman (US 2002/0157421), as set forth below, since Ackerman discloses the use of the glass substrate made of a SiO2-TiO2 glass and its advantage in lithography processing

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al (US 2002/0179576) in view of Taylor (US 5,761,790) and further in view of Ackerman (US 2002/0157421)

Takeuchi discloses a method for fabricating a glass substrate which is suited for photomasks/mask blank used in photolithography, the glass substrate having a flatness of 0.01 microns (page 2, paragraph 0015), which reads on a mask blank being used in a transfer mask which is for use with F2 excimer laser light since the glass substrate for

an EUV mask blank required to have a flatness of 0.05 microns or less as disclosed in

page 6 of the instant specification. The method comprises the steps of:

measuring the height of the peak and valleys on the surface of the glass substrate (page 1, paragraph 0010), which reads on measuring a convex/concave profile of a surface of the glass substrate for a mask blank

obtaining the data about the peaks and valleys on the glass substrate (page 2, paragraph 0021), which reads on specifying the degree of convexity of a convex portion present on the glass surface, plasma etching/local machining upon the substrate surface having the peaks and valleys to control the flatness of the surface of the glass substrate to 0.04 nm (not greater than 0.25 microns) (page 2, paragraph 0021), which reads on controlling a flatness of the surface of the glass substrate to a value not greater than a reference flatness required in lithography using the EUV light as the exposure light since the reference value of the flatness being 0.05 micron as disclosed in page 6 of the instant specification

subsequently, subjecting the glass surface to a polishing step (page 2, paragraph 0016)

The limitation of claim 5 has been discussed above

Unlike the instant claimed invention as per claims 1, 2, 3, 10, Takeuchi fails to specifically disclose performing a non-contact polishing step of polishing/float polishing, the surfaceof the glass substrate subjected to the local machining by the action of a machining liquid comprises water interposed between the surface of the glass substrate and a surface of a polishing tool without direct contact therebetween

Taylor discloses a non-contact polishing method that may be utilized for fabricating x-ray lithography optics, the method comprises a step of performing a non-contact polishing step of polishing a glass surface, the surface of the glass substrate subjected to the local machining by the action of a machining liquid comprises water interposed between the surface of the glass substrate and a surface of a polishing tool without direct contact therebetween (col 7, lines 10-27)

One skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to Modify Takeuchi method by performing a non-contact polishing step of polishing the glass surface as per Taylor since Taylor discloses that the non-contact polishing tool introduces little or no subsurface damage and it has a significant lower production cost than traditional methods (col 3, lines 60-67; col 4, lines 1-5)

Takeuchi and Taylor also fails to specifically disclose that the glass substrate being made of SiO2-TiO2 glass

Ackerman, in a method for producing fused silica glass, discloses the use of SiO2-TiO2 glass (page 1, paragraph 0005)

One skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to modify Takeuchi and Taylor method by using SiO2-TiO2 glass as the glass substrate in view of Ackerman teaching because in page 1, paragraph 0005, Ackerman discloses:

Application/Control Number: 10/809,523

Art Unit: 1792

[0005] The mask structure consists of a substrate ("mask blank"), a reflective multilayer stack formed on the mask blank, and an absorber formed on the multilayer stack. Typically, the multilayer stack includes alternating layers of Mo and Si or Mo and Be. The absorber defines the pattern to be replicated on the silicon wafer. The mask blank may be made of silicon or glass or other suitable material. It is important that the mask blank has a low thermal expansion so that it does not distort under exposure to the EUV radiation. Titania-doped fused silica (SiO₂—TiO₂) is one example of a glass that can be made to have a very low thermal expansion, i.e., lower than pure fused silica with the potential for a coefficient of thermal expansion that approximates zero. The coefficient of thermal expansion of the

4. Claims 6-8, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al (US 2002/0179576) in view of Taylor (US 5,761,790), Ackerman ((US 2002/0157421) and further in view of Ohnuma (US 6,924,068))

Takeuchi as modified by Talor and Ackerman has been described above. Unlike the instant claimed inventions as per claims 6-8, 11-13, Takeuchi ,Taylor and Ackerman fails to disclose the steps of forming a thin on the glass substrate and patterning the thin film and transferring the thin film pattern of the transfer mask onto a semiconductor substrate by lithography

Ohnuma discloses a method for fabricating a photomask comprises the step of patterning the thin film and transferring the thin film pattern of the transfer mask onto a glass substrate by lithography (col 4, lines 53-60)

Since Takeuchi is concerned with etching the glass substrate, one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to modify Takeuchi and Taylor and Ackerman method by patterning the thin film and transferring the thin film pattern of the transfer mask onto a glass substrate by lithography as per Ohnuma

because Ohnuma discloses that resist pattern formed by photolithography is utilized as a mask for processes such as etching base film (col 1, lines 16-20)

5. Claims 9, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al (US 2002/0179576) in view of Taylor (US 5,761,790), Ackerman (US 2002/0157421) and further in view of Ohnuma (US 6,924,068))

Takeuchi as modified by Talor and Ackerman has been described above. Unlike the instant claimed inventions as per claims 9, 14, Takeuchi and Taylor, Ackerman fails to disclose forming a reflective multilayer on the glass substrate and forming a light absorber film on the reflective multilayer film

Ohnuma discloses a method for fabricating a photomask comprises the step of forming a reflective multilayer includes chromium on the glass substrate and forming a photoresist/light absorber film on the reflective multilayer film (col 4, lines 58-62)

One skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to modify Takeuchi, Taylor and Ackerman method by forming a reflective multilayer includes chromium on the glass substrate and forming a photoresist/light absorber film on the reflective multilayer film as per Ohnuma because Ohnuma discloses that the photomask utilized in the semiconductor manufacturing process comprises of a light-blocking film/reflective film formed in the desired photoresist pattern (col 1, lines 11-21)

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 571 272 1471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571 272 1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,523 Page 8

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

LV December 14, 2007